Data and Regressions Results for Building Efficiency Rates

Jason M. Barr

October 20, 2019

1. The Data

The data set comprises information on 66 tall buildings constructed from approximately 1955 to the present. The variables include data on gross floor area (square feet), number of floors, the city, the year completed and the efficiency rate (100*Net Floor Area/Gross Building Area). Note the buildings from the Los Angeles in the 1950s were given a year of 1955 because specific years were not given in the source.

There are the sources for the data:

- Ayşin, S.E.V. and Özgen, A., 2009. Space efficiency in high-rise office buildings. *Metu Jfa*, 2.
- A PhD dissertation circa 1975 on the economics of tall buildings in Los Angeles. I had photocopied it and have it somewhere in my house, but I can't find it now—it's lost among my stacks of papers. If you really want to see it, email me and I'll try to dig it up.
- Kim, H.I., 2004. *Space efficiency in mixed-use high-rise building* (Doctoral dissertation, Illinois Institute of Technology).
- An extra data point was taken from Watts, S., Kalita, N. and Maclean, M., 2007. The economics of super-tall towers. *The structural Design of tall and special buildings*, *16*(4), pp.457-470.

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Efficiency Rate Floors Year GFA (m. sq ft) Office	67 67 67 67 66	74.8831 39.08955 1972.896 1.065619 .8484848	6.860928 34.76097 20.98747 1.190937 .3612978	60 8 1954.5 .018 0	86.41879 143 2008 5.274311 1
Mixed Use Asia Europe U.S.	66 67 67 67 67	.1515152 .1641791 .1641791 .6716418	.3612978 .3732338 .3732338 .4731602	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1

2. Descriptive Statistics

3. Regression Results

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
floors 10	0.130	-0.150	-1.961	-2.266	-0.938
—	(0.45)	(-0.24)	(-3.72)*	(-3.06)*	(-1.73)
ear	-0.183	-0.184	-0.129	0.104	0.0570
	(-9.15)**	(-6.88)**	(-3.96)*	(5.39)**	(1.40)
GFA_mill		0.884	3.783	4.081	
		(0.52)	(3.14) *	(4.45)**	
office			-11.11	-6.576	-3.932
			(-3.34) *	(-2.23)	(-3.03)*
Asia				-8.186	-8.658
				(-2.60)	(-4.45)*
Europe				-11.04	-10.39
				(-8.55)**	(-4.90)*
lnGFA					1.091
					(1.51)
cons	435.5	437.0	343.4	-117.5	-41.66
_	(11.08)***	(8.31)**	(5.43)**	(-3.03)*	(-0.48)
	67	67	66	66	66
≀-sq	0.263	0.267	0.431	0.490	0.445
adj. R-sq	0.240	0.232	0.394	0.439	0.388
AIC	430.7	430.4	405.6	398.3	404.0
BIC	435.1	434.8	410.0	402.7	408.4

Dep. Var. Efficiency Rate (%). Robust t statistics in parentheses-clustered by continent. * p<.1, ** p<.05, *** p<.01.

Equation (1) regresses building efficiency on floors and year of construction. Equation (2) adds the gross square footage (in millions of square feet). Equation (3) adds an office dummy (mixed use is the omitted building type). Equation (4) adds regional dummies (the U.S. is the omitted group). Finally, Equation (5) is the same as (4) but include the log of gross floor area rather than in levels. In short, specification (4) appears to be the best one. Equations (1) - (3) seem to suffer from omitted variable bias. And Equation (5) has a higher AIC and BIC and lnGFA is not statistically significant. Equation (4) shows that for every 10 floors taller, holding GFA constant, reduces efficiency by 2.27 percentage points, on average. But the year coefficient estimate suggests an annual average increase in efficiency of 0.1 percentage point. As expected, wider buildings, holding floor count constant, increase efficiency. Offices as well appear less efficient then their mixed-use counterparts. Asian and European buildings appear less efficient than their U.S. counterparts. Why this might be is left for future research.